Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/541,028	SUKENO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DAVID H. CHU	2628	
All Participants:	articipants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>DAVID H. CHU</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Richard Anderson</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 22 December 2008 Time:			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 9			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner proposed an Examiner's Amendment to correct the limitation that lacks proper antecedent basis.			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
/XIAO M. WU/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2628			
(A _J	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)	